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Abstract—The ECSU Summer 2006 Undergraduate Research Experience (URE) Holistic Ice Sheet Modeling Team applied a first-order analytic model of a continental ice sheet, based on balancing gravitational pressure forces against externally applied basal shearing stresses, to derive structural characteristics and infer dynamic behavior of a continental ice sheet. First-order model results were compared to surface elevation and ice thickness data obtained by an aerial ice penetrating RADAR survey of the Antarctic's Byrd Ice Stream (Jezek et. al., 1998) The first order model results were also compared to those of a higher order analytic approximation used to interpret the data (Reusch and Hughes, 2003).

The first-order model was expanded to include mass balance considerations and a parameterized description of ice basal surface coupling and bed texture roughness and topography as well as side shear, compressive and tensile forces. The expanded first-order model was studied to better understand the dynamic variations in ice sheet and ice stream behavior resulting from changes in ice accumulation and ablation rates that may be possible consequences of Global Climate Warming. Study of the first-order ice sheet model included consideration of its evolution from completely grounded continental sheet to a partially grounded ice stream flowing to its terminus as a calving ice shelf.

I. Introduction

The last million years has been the Quaternary Ice Age. Figure 1 compares the present-day coastline of North America with the coastline 20,000 years ago at the last glacial maximum when an ice sheet extend from Greenland, across Canada into the United States, and with the coastline if the ice sheets now blanketing Greenland and Antarctica were to disappear. Then Memphis in Tennessee would be an ocean port and New England would be an island!

II. Methodology

A simple first-order ice sheet model was derived from simple geometrical consideration of a force balance between gravitationally forced spreading resisted by basal coupling stress due to the ice sheet being frozen to its bed. The model is used to provide a first-order understanding of the thickness of an ice sheet as it spreads across a continental land mass, progressing from sheet-, to stream-, to shelf-flow as described below:

– **Sheet-Flow**: a grounded ice sheet with 100% of its basal surface frozen to its bed
- **Stream-Flow**: a mass of ice, flowing toward the sea whose basal surface is partially afloat and partially frozen to its bed.

- **Shelf-Flow**: a large area of floating ice.

An ice sheet is thickest where it is grounded and continues to thin until it is 100% afloat at its grounding line. Once afloat, the ice continues to thin due to the force of gravity and difference in density between ice and water. The first-order ice sheet model was developed from an assumption of balance between gravitational forcing of the ice sheet resisted by basal traction allowing the ice sheet’s most dynamic component: the ice stream; to be understood and modeled by the variability of coupling to the bed over which it flows. Figure 2 illustrates the structural elements of the first-order model approach to modeling ice sheets.

Higher order geometrically derived models, accounting for the effects of side shear stress, compression and tension forces and mass balance, can be derived from the simple force balance treatment. Basal coupling in all these models is parametrically determined by the Floating Fraction of ice, denoted by the Greek letter (Φ) and defined quantitatively as the ratio of basal water pressure necessary to float the ungrounded fraction of the ice sheet to the overburden pressure of the ice above the bed. Its higher order quantitative definition is:

\[ \Phi = \frac{P_W}{P_I} \]  

(1)

The weight (W) of a column of ice in a continental sheet at its highest point (the “Ice Divide”) is a function of its thickness \( (h_I) \) above the bed:

\[ W = P \cdot h_I \cdot w \]  

(2)

Where P is the pressure under a column ice of height \( (h_I) \) and w is the width of the ice sheet. The pressure increases with ice depth.

\[ P = \rho_I \cdot g \cdot h_I \]  

(3)

and it is easily shown from simple geometric considerations that the average pressure through the ice sheet \( <P> \) is given by:

\[ <P> = \frac{(\rho_I \cdot g \cdot h_I)}{2} \]  

(4)

The average gravitationally generated pressure \( <P> \) would cause the ice to continue to spread until it moved into the ocean and attained a thickness equal to that of floating ice shelf in hydrostatic balance. However, in the simplest case (horizontal bed), the spreading is resisted by the fact that the ice sheet basal surface is frozen to its bed. The resultant basal traction acts to prevent the ice sheet from thinning.

The basal traction force is equal to the basal stress term \( \tau_o \), multiplied by the area over which it acts. In others words, \( \tau_o \), multiplied by the area over which the ice sheet is frozen to the bed. The area is equal to width \( (w) \) times the length \( (x) \). This simple balance of force relation is expressed:

\[ F(x) = <P> \cdot h_I \cdot w - \tau_o \cdot w \cdot x = (\rho_I \cdot g \cdot h_I \cdot h_I \cdot w) / 2 - (\tau_o \cdot w \cdot x) = 0 \]  

(5)

Canceling like terms leaves an expression for the ice sheet thickness as a function of long distance along the bed \( (x) \):

\[ \tau_o \cdot x = \frac{\rho_I \cdot g \cdot h_I^2}{2} \]  

(6)

Or solving for \( x \):

\[ x = \frac{\rho_I \cdot g \cdot h_I^2}{2 \cdot \tau_o} \]  

(7)

As an ice sheet approaches the water’s edge over uneven ground, an increasing amount of the ice basal surface will be uncoupled from the bed and begin to float. This can be reflected in the force balance equation modifying the basal stress term by adding a parameter that changes the area of ice frozen to the bed. The area will be reduced by an amount dependent on variation of the floating
fraction of the ice sheet (Φ), a measure of the fraction of the basal surface area over which the ice sheet, now an ice stream, is floating. When the floating fraction becomes equal to 1, the ice is totally afloat and has become an ice shelf of thickness ho at the grounding line, then

Intuitively, the ice sheet and stream can never be thinner than at the grounding line where it is afloat. As the floating fraction diminishes going toward the ice sheet (where the fraction will be equal to zero), its thickness will increase as the floating fraction decreases.

The ratio of ice thickness at the grounding line (ho) to its thickness anywhere along the ice stream (h_l) is thus a first-order measure of the floating fraction Φ:

\[ Φ = \frac{h_0}{h_l} \]  

(8)

In this case, the first-order force balance equation for an ice stream would be:

\[ (ρ_l \cdot g \cdot h_l \cdot h_l/2) - τ_o \cdot w \cdot x \cdot (1-Φ) = 0 \]  

(9)

resulting in an expression relating thickness of the ice to the distance along the stream:

\[ x = \frac{ρ_l \cdot g \cdot h_l^2}{2 \cdot τ_o \cdot (1-Φ)} \]  

(10)

If we assume a profile for Φ(x) and have a value of the ice thickness of the grounding line, then the first-order relation, \( Φ = \frac{h_0}{h_l} \), provides a means to measure the thickness of the ice along the stream.

III. Data vs. Model Comparison

Figure 3 shows RADAR measurements acquired by an aerial survey (Jezek et al. 1998) yielding ice thickness data along Byrd Glacier on a flow line from ice shelf to continental interior. Byrd Glacier drains a significant amount of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet through the Trans-Antarctic Mountains into the West Antarctic basin now occupied by the Ross Ice Shelf. The thickness data is used herein as the basis for comparing various first and higher-order estimates of the floating fraction to gain insight into the behavior of the Byrd Glacier prior to its merging with the Ross ice shelf. Ice thickness values were calculated for first-order and higher-order

IV. Results

Ice thickness (h_l) values along the ice stream flow-line toward the fjord head-wall were tabulated with distance measured from the grounding line. Grounding line thickness (h_0) was derived from the airborne RADAR measurements (Figure 3) of Byrd ice stream and estimated to be 650 meters. The measured h_0 and h_l values were used to calculate a “data-derived” Φ trend, depicted in Figure 4. Also shown in Figure 4 are Φ values corresponding to a higher-order ice thickness model wherein:

\[ Φ = \frac{P_W}{P_l} \]  

(11)
Where \( P_W \) is the hydraulic pressure and \( P_I \) is the ice overburden pressure.

Floating Fraction trends corresponding to first-order functional variations of \( \Phi \) were also tabulated and graphed; including a linearly varying case and two periodically varying cases with different spatial frequencies. The period of one cosine squared function was chosen to be maximum at the grounding line decreasing to zero at the fjord head wall. with Values of \( \Phi \) for each case are graphically depicted in Figure 5.

Figure 6 is a reprise Figure 5 with the linear \( \Phi \) and the \( \Phi \) derived from a quarter period square cosine function omitted. The two \( \Phi \) profiles are easily compared with the blue “data-derived” \( \Phi \) profile.

As anticipated, the floating fraction, \( \Phi \), decreases with distance from the grounding line. Up to 120 km from the grounding line, the first-order model \( \Phi \) profile seems to correspond more closely to the \( \Phi \) profile derived from the measured values than do those of the higher-order model.

Figure 7 shows ice thickness (\( h_I \)) profiles corresponding to the \( \Phi \) profiles in Figure 6. The ice stream becomes progressively more grounded approaching the fjord head wall, and therefore becomes thicker. Maximum thickness occurs at approximately 100 km from the grounding line, thereafter the three profiles converge.

V. Conclusion

The force-balance approximation is probably weakest near the fjord head-wall, where ice flowing from higher ground is stretched like taffy. Reduction in bed coupling appears as an increase in \( \Phi \) approaching the fjord headwall. An increase in bed slope may decouple ice from its bed due to the associated increase in the gravitational force component parallel to the bed.
VI. Future Work

In the future one might want to repeat the study using a higher-order holistic first order geometric model treatment that includes: mass balance, side-shearing stresses, topography, compression and tension forces. Yet, even the present, simple derivation of $\Phi$ has provided insight into ice stream dynamics.
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